

the Department to identify individuals requesting certain records under the Privacy Act. Without this form an individual cannot obtain the information requested.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* 34,390 respondents at 1 hour per response.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* 34,390 annual burden hours.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: December 10, 1997.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 97-32622 Filed 12-12-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-26-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated August 20, 1997, and published in the **Federal Register** on September 3, 1997, (62 FR 46512), Arenol Corporation, 189 Meister Avenue, Somerville, New Jersey 08876, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed below:

Drug	Schedule
N-Ethylamphetamine (1475)	I.
Difenoxin (9168)	I.
Amphetamine (1100)	II.
Methamphetamine (1105)	II.

The firm plans to manufacture the listed controlled substances to produce pharmaceutical products for its customers.

DEA has considered the factors in Title 21, United States Code, Section 823(a) and determined that the registration of Arenol Corporation to manufacture the listed controlled substances is consistent with the public interest at this time. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 823 and 28 C.F.R. §§ 0.100 and 0.104, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, hereby orders that the application submitted by the above firm for registration as a bulk manufacturer

of the basic classes of controlled substances listed above is granted.

Dated: November 25, 1997.

John H. King,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 97-32586 Filed 12-12-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-293]

Boston Edison Company; Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering approval under 10 CFR 50.80, by issuance of an Order, of the transfer of control of Facility Operating License No. DPR-35, for the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station, located in Plymouth County, Massachusetts, to the extent such transfer would be effected by the proposed corporate restructuring of Boston Edison Company (BECo, the licensee), holder of the license.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would consent to the transfer of control of the license, to the extent effected by the restructuring of BECo by establishment of a newly created holding company, BEC Energy. BECo would become a wholly owned subsidiary of the holding company and would continue to be the licensee for Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station. No direct transfer of the license would occur. The proposed action is in accordance with BECo's application dated June 9, 1997.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed to the extent the proposed restructuring of BECo will effect a transfer of control of the license to permit the restructuring to occur. BECo has submitted that the proposed restructuring will enable it to better prepare to implement changes resulting from electric utility industry restructuring, and will enhance the insulation of BECo's utility business from business risks associated with non-utility enterprises.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed corporate restructuring and concludes that there

will be no physical or operational changes to the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station. The corporate restructuring will not affect the qualifications or organizational affiliation of the personnel who operate or maintain the facility, as BECo will continue to be responsible for the operation, maintenance and possession of the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station.

The Commission has evaluated the environmental impact of the proposed action and has determined that the probability or consequences of accidents would not be increased by the proposed action, and that post-accident radiological releases would not be greater than previously determined. Further, the Commission has determined that the proposed action would not affect routine radiological exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action would not affect nonradiological plant effluents and would have no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission concluded that there are no significant environmental effects that would result from the proposed action, any alternative with equal or greater environmental impacts need not be evaluated.

The principal alternative would be to deny the requested action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are identical.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station, dated May 1972.

Agencies and Persons Contacted

In accordance with its stated policy, on December 9, 1997, the staff consulted with the Massachusetts State Official, James Muckerheide, of the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.